

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/524,854	03/14/2000		Sadik Bayrakeri	19880-001610US	8158
26291	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
•		ON & SHERIDAN	BUI, KIEU OANH T		
595 SHREW FIRST FLOO		AVE, STE 100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702				2611	
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/524,854	BAYRAKERI ET AL.	BAYRAKERI ET AL.				
~	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		KIEU-OANH T BUI	2611					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mailtains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sreply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comr	ทบกication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	15 March 2005.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-13 and 15-20</u> is/are pending	g in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	- · · ·						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-13 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected	d.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
_ 8)□ _	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election-requirement						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	· ———	age				
	application from the International Bu			3				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
* -	e de la companya de							
Attachment	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/15/05 has been entered.

Remark

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-13, and 15-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 5-6 and 14 were canceled, and pending claims 1-4, 7-13, and 15-20 are for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-4, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellis et al. (US Patent No. 5,986,650).

Regarding claim 1, Ellis discloses "a method for managing delivery of video sequences of an interactive program guide (IPG) over a communications network to a plurality of terminals" (Figs. 1, 14 and 15), the method comprising:

"pre-allocating a broadcast bandwidth in the communications network for common video sequences to be transmitted by a broadcast technique, said common video sequences comprising IPG pages for a current time period and IPG pages for a prime viewing time period; transmitting in the broadcast bandwidth the common video sequences to the plurality of terminals by way of the broadcast technique", i.e., this broadcast technique refers to a standard or common broadcast is provided to a terminal in the communications network whenever there is no specific technique is requested by any specific terminal by using appropriate bandwidth pre-allocation technique (Fig. 1 and col. 4/lines 45-67 for standard broadcast), and Ellis further discloses the step of IPG pages with a current time and a prime viewing time (Figs. 13A-13C for showing video stream on the display and the current time as well as the prime time, col. 4/lines 45-67 as data streams regarding as video sequences is receiving at the set top terminal of Fig. 1, and as in Fig. 14 and col. 16/line 33 to col. 17/line 32 for video channels at the current time and the prime time can be displayed and searched at the user terminals);

"receiving a request for a specific video sequence from a specific terminal via the communications network; allocating a demandcast bandwidth in the communications network for the specific video sequence; and transmitting in the demandcast bandwidth the specific video sequence to the specific terminal via the communications network", i.e., this specific technique

refers to as per a request for a specific video sequence from a specific terminal, for instance, a pay-per-view show or a particular movie and so on, a demandcast bandwidth is provided to that specific terminal based on the request using a dynamic allocation technique, with an individual interactive information stream is allocated for that specific terminal is provided (col. 7/lines 35-62 for pay-per-view is addressed as for on-demand requests from the users).

As for claims 2 and 3, in view of claim 1, Ellis further discloses "wherein the common video sequences are delivered using an in-band portion of the communications network" and "wherein the specific video sequence is delivered using the in-band portion of the communications network", i.e., broadcast video stream including the common video sequences and the specific video sequences, or in other words, video data streams, are delivered using a broadband network of separate networks comprising in-band and our-of-band (col. 3/lines 45-54 for a broadband network for broadcast video streams as further noted on lines 55-67 of the same column).

As for claim 4, in view of claim 3, Ellis further discloses "wherein the requests are received using an out-of-band portion of the communications network", i.e., a request from a user or a terminal is using an outside signaling system or a separate network for communicating to the communications network referred to as using an "out-of-band portion" of the communications network, and as signaling or commands can either provided through an in-band data delivery or an out-of-band data delivery (col. 4/lines 45-67 as separate networks can be used for broadcasting including standard broadcast, cable cast or satellite transmission referred to "out-of band" portion of the communication network).

(Claims 5 and 6 were canceled).

Regarding claim 10, Ellis discloses "a method for managing delivery of a plurality of video sequences that comprise interactive program guide (IPG) pages, the method comprising: predetermining a set of video sequences to be broadcast; allocating a broadcast bandwidth within a network with a finite bandwidth for the set of video sequences; broadcasting the set of video sequences via the broadcast bandwidth to a plurality of terminals; receiving a request from a specific terminal for a specific video sequence which is not within the set of video sequences to be broadcast; allocating a demandcast bandwidth within the network for the specific video sequence; transmitting the specific video sequence via the demandcast bandwidth to the specific terminal to fulfill the request", i.e., see claim 1 above and further with a limitation of "predetermining a second set of video sequences to be broadcast, wherein the second set of video sequences comprising IPG pages for prime viewing time periods" is disclosed by Ellis (Figs. 13A-13C for showing video stream on the display and the current time as well as the prime time, col. 4/lines 45-67 as data streams regarding as video sequences is receiving at the set top terminal of Fig. 1, and as in Fig. 14 and col. 16/line 33 to col. 17/line 32 for video channels at the current time and the prime time can be displayed and searched at the user terminals);

As for claims 11-13, in view of claim 10, these claims with same limitations are rejected for the reasons given in the scope of claims 2-4 as discussed in details above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-9 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis as cited in claim 1 above in view of Gordon et al. (US Patent Pub 2003/0052905).

Regarding claim 7, in view of claims 1 above, Ellis does not further the claimed limitation; however, Gordon discloses "wherein transmitting the specific video sequence is performed using a narrowcast technique to a group of terminals which includes the specific terminal", i.e., a narrowcast technique with an individual interactive information stream is allocated for that specific terminal is provided (Fig. 20, and page 13/section 0127 & 0128). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis's system with a known technique as disclosed in Gordon's in order to provide users/viewers the option to select or choose to view their preferred programs on their interactive program guide as suggested by Gordon using the narrowcast technique. The motivation for doing this is to offer a flexible and convenience interactive program guide that offer video sequences, programs or events displaying according to the convenient time period of the user/viewer on demand.

As for claims 8 and 9, in further view of claim 7 above, Gordon further discloses "wherein transmitting the specific video sequence is performed using a PointCast technique" and "wherein the PointCast technique comprises a shared PointCast technique", i.e., a PointCast service and shared pointcast are used for providing information service based on the user request (page 13, section 0127 & 0128). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis's system with a known technique as disclosed in Gordon's in order to provide users/viewers the option to select or choose to view their preferred programs on their interactive program guide as suggested by Gordon using the pointcast and shared pointcast technique. The motivation for doing this is to offer a flexible and convenience interactive program guide that offer especially video sequences, programs or events displaying according to the convenient time period of the user/viewer on demand per a specific group of users.

As for claim 15, in view of claims 7 and 10, Gordon further discloses "wherein transmitting the specific video sequence to the specific terminal comprises Pointcasting the specific video sequence to the specific terminal", i.e., a Pointcast service is used for providing information service to an individual (page 13, sections 0127 & 0128).

As for claims 16 and 17, in view of claim 15 above, Gordon further discloses "wherein transmitting the specific video sequence is performed using a narrowcast technique to a group of terminals which includes the specific terminal" and "predetermining a particular video sequence to be narrowcast to a group of terminals; allocating a narrowcast bandwidth within the network for the particular video sequence; and narrowcasting the particular video sequence via the narrowcast bandwidth to the groups of terminals", i.e., a narrowcast technique with an individual

and page 13/sections 0127 & 0128).

Art Unit: 2611

interactive information stream is allocated for that specific terminal is clearly provided (Fig. 20,

As for claim 18, in view of claim 10, Gordon further discloses "comprising: receiving a second request from a second specific terminal for the specific video sequence; and transmitting the specific video sequence via the demandcast bandwidth to the second terminal, wherein the demandcast bandwidth comprises a single stream which is used to transmit the specific video sequence to both terminals", i.e., Fig. 1 as for illustration of more than two terminals 136 belongs to subscriber equipments 106-1 to ... 106-n requesting broadcast services; as if a specific request is sending from a second user of same network, first and second terminals receive one single stream of specific broadcast service to them, for instance, a shared pointcast mode is applied as at least two or more users can receive a (single) particular information stream (page 13, section 0127).

As for claims 19 and 20, in view of claim 18, Gordon inherently discloses "comprising: one terminal from a group including both terminals finishing use of the specific video sequence; and continuing transmission of the specific video sequence via the demandcast bandwidth" and "comprising: another terminal from the group finishing use of the specific video sequence; and discontinuing transmission of the specific video sequence; and making the demandcast bandwidth available for re-allocation" because the broadcast technique is used herein based on the user's preference or their choice either broadcasting, pointcasting, shared pointcasting or narrowcasting; therefore, the user of one of both terminals can do whatever he desires, e.g., ordering a video sequence or a movie, and he stills continue to use the demandcast service if he prefers to order another one or discontinue the demandcast service, and making the demandcast

Application/Control Number: 09/524,854

Art Unit: 2611

0127 & 0128).

bandwidth available for re-allocation for the server system by having the user's remote controller as for activating a command or not in ordering the demandcast service (page 13, sections 0126 &

Page 9

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VII., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8. should be directed to Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant, can be reached on (571) 272-7294.

Application/Control Number: 09/524,854

Art Unit: 2611

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

April 11, 2005 ____

Kieu-Oanh Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611